\subset	\mathcal{L}	
V	${f T}$	
1	1	
~	,	_

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/757,742	SZOR ET AL.		
Notice of Allowability	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Daniel Davins	2126		
1	Ronald Baum	2136		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commulGHTS. This application is s	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due cou	rse. THIS	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>9/26/2007</u> .				
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-6,10-21 and 24-27</u> .				
 3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 	e been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have	•••		6 Ab -	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the				
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* Certified copies not received:	•			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.				
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			ICE OF	
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.				
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached				
1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date				
(b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No./Mail Date				
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.				
•		•		
	•			
•		·	•	
Attachment(s)				
1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		formal Patent Application		
2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ımmary (PTO-413), Mail Date	·	
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date		Amendment/Comment		
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	8. 🛭 Examiner's	Statement of Reasons for Allowa	nce ·	
NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	9. ☐ Other	.		
11/23/07				

Art Unit: 2136

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

- 1. Claims 1-6,10-21 and 24-27 are allowed over prior art.
- 2. This action is in reply to applicant's correspondence of 26 September 2007.
- 3. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for the indication of allowable claimed subject matter.
- 4. As per claims 1, 10, 15, 19 and 25 generally, prior art of record, Arnold et al, U.S. Patent No. 6,981,279 B1, fails to teach alone, or in combination, other than via hindsight, at the time of the invention, the features as discussed and remarked upon in the response of 26 September 2007 to office action of 7/6/2007.

Specifically, (as per claim 1, for example) prior art dealing with Intrusion Detection for network servers, and associated real time (i.e., CGI scripts, running/executing code access control services, etc.,) detection/scanning associated with attempted malicious behavior/malware, is generally known to exist per se, (i.e., Web server oriented real time access control integrated approaches, inclusive of associated user/managed response aspects, such as GAA-API: Ryutov, T., et al, 'Integrated access control and intrusion detection for web servers', IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems, Vol.14,No.9, 9/2003, entire document http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/iel5/71/27643/01233707.pdf?arnumber=1233707). Nowhere in the prior art is found collectively the *italicized* claim elements (i.e., *detecting malicious behavior* associated with the detected running code, generating a signature associated with the code, then subsequently *identifying running code* associated with the signature (i.e., versus just the code used for the signature), then allowing the user to block execution of the identified code, whereas

Art Unit: 2136

the blocking of the execution is *overridden if the user is incorrectly evaluating the criteria* associated with *the behavior being malicious* (i.e., versus the code per se being malicious)), *at the time of the invention*; serving to patently distinguish the invention from said prior art;

"1. A computer implemented method for *preventing malicious code from propagating in a computer*, the method comprising the steps of:

a blocking-scanning manager

detecting attempted malicious behavior of running code;.

responsive to the detection, the blocking-scanning manager

blocking the attempted malicious behavior;

the blocking-scanning manager

generating a signature to identify

the code that attempted the malicious behavior;

the blocking-scanning manager

detecting code identified by the signature,

wherein detecting code identified by the signature further comprises

the blocking-scanning manager

alerting a user of the detection; and

the blocking-scanning manager

allowing the user to choose whether or not

to block the execution of the identified code;

the blocking-scanning manager

Art Unit: 2136

overriding the user's choice

responsive to the user

incorrectly choosing to block

non-malicious behavior or

incorrectly choosing not to block

malicious behavior; and

the blocking-scanning manager

blocking the execution of the identified code.".

5. Dependent claims 2-6, 11-14, 16-18, 20, 21, 24, 26 and 27 are allowable by virtue of their dependencies.

Art Unit: 2136

Conclusion .

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Ronald Baum, whose telephone number is (571) 272-3861, and whose unofficial Fax number is (571) 273-3861 and unofficial email is Ronald.baum@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Moazzami, can be reached at (571) 272-4195. The Fax number for the organization where this application is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. For more information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NASSER MOAZZAMI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

11/23/07

Ronald Baum

Patent Examiner